Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision

Compliance Committee Meeting Minutes

June 15, 2026 · 2:00 PM ET · Teleconference · APPROVED

MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

1. Dale Crook (VT), Chair
2. Matthew Charton (NY)
3. Matthew Poyzer (AZ)
4. Melissa Smith (IL)
5. Amy Vorachek (ND)
6. April Simmons (IN), Ex-Officio
7. Sarah Spader (SD), Ex-Officio

GUESTS

1. Ian Chang (MA)

MEMBERS NOT IN ATTENDANCE

1. Joe Winkler (FL)
2. Christian Stephens (PA)

STAFF

1. Ashley Lippert, Executive Director
2. Mindy Spring, Operations Manager
3. Barno Saturday, Logistics Coordinator
4. Suzanne Brooks, Education & Implementation Manager
5. Drake Greeott, Web Development Manager
6. Xavier Donnelly, System Manager

CALL TO ORDER

Chair D. Crook (VT) called the meeting to order at 2:00 PM ET. A quorum was established.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND MINUTES

A motion by Commissioner A. Vorachek (ND) to approve the meeting agenda was adopted.

A motion by Commissioner M. Charton (NY) to approve the minutes from the April 22, 2026, meeting was adopted.

DISCUSSION

Rules Chapter 6: Operations Manager M. Spring presented a revised draft of Rule 6.101, incorporating comments from the April meeting. The primary change splits the existing rule into two: Rule 6.101 (dispute resolution) and Rule 6.101-1 (informal requests for rule interpretation).

The revised draft establishes a two-step informal process: DCAs have 10 business days to resolve disputes, followed by 10 business days for commissioners. Executive Director A. Lippert clarified that these run consecutively within a total 30-calendar-day informal period and do not constitute two separate 30-day windows. The committee agreed language should be tightened to make this sequence clear.

Commissioner M. Charton (NY) raised concern that the 30-day informal process could be inadequate in urgent situations. After discussion, the committee agreed to add language to Rule 6.101 clarifying that nothing in the rule prevents commissioners from meeting or resolving a dispute sooner when necessary to address an imminent risk to public safety.

The committee discussed the use of "shall" or "may" in the formal dispute resolution language regarding state escalation to formal dispute processes. The committee agreed to use "may" as it would give states more discretion over when to escalate disputes, particularly when issues aren't immediate public safety risks.

Executive Director A. Lippert explained that the revised Rule 6.101-1 codifies existing practice requiring Executive Committee review and approval before issuing formal advisory opinions to member states. The Committee agreed that codifying this process is appropriate to ensure adequate oversight, particularly because advisory opinions are often cited in court proceedings and referenced in the Bench Book and other resources beyond the Compact community. Discussion regarding the use of the terms "formal" and "informal" advisory opinions was tabled until the next meeting.

Discussion of Rules 6.102 and 6.103 was deferred to the next meeting. The national office will prepare draft revisions incorporating member comments. Members were asked to submit additional comments on these rules to the national office before the next meeting.

OLD/NEW BUSINESS

ABM Committee Expo: Executive Director A. Lippert provided an overview of the committee expo tables planned for the 2026 Annual Business Meeting. The expo will offer attendees an opportunity to learn more about committee activities and engage with committee members. Members interested in representing the Compliance Committee at the expo table are encouraged to notify Chair Crook or the national office.

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 1:59 pm ET.